

GFO Executive Council Annual Report 2013 - 14

Executive Council Motions

October 8, 2013

EC motion

Gourd moved to pass the motion:
"The EC endorses moving the PNOI proposal for an MS in Accounting forward to the UW Graduate School".

The motion was seconded and discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- Does the EC need more specific requirements to review these proposals (course lists, budgets)?
- Anderson explained that the full proposal would provide specific information in these areas.
- The EC reviews PNOIs to provide input or feedback and in the early stages of the proposal process.
- What is a fee-based program? A program that is not supported by a State budget, the agreement with the school/program states that tuition will cover funding of the program. This program is offered by UWB not UW Educational Outreach.

Resnick called the question on the original motion, there was no further discussion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Gourd moved to pass the motion:
"The EC endorses moving the PNOI proposal for a BA and BS in Chemistry forward to the UW Registrar for tri-campus review."

The motion was seconded and discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- Chemistry is among the top 2-3 degrees students are looking for across programs.
- How do the BA and the BS differ? Scott explained that the BA emphasizes the pedagogy of teaching Chemistry and there is a greater number of electives offered in the BA degree, the BS degree requires more Chemistry courses. The faculty determine the curriculum.
- This is a well-structured PNOI with course listings, it makes it easier for the EC to evaluate.
- STEM has a 5-year plan for a full proposal, developing resources for all the tracks of the program. All the tracks will not be offered at the start, Autumn 2014.
- It would be helpful if the BA track for educators would address pre-requisites for teaching certification, students could prepare as undergraduates in Chemistry with teaching certification.

Resnick called the question on the original motion, there was no further discussion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Collins moved to pass the motion:
“The EC endorses moving the proposal for a Minor in Physics forward to the UW Registrar for tri-campus review.”

The motion was seconded, there was no further discussion. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Collins moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the recommendation of the CCASC for a course release for the Chair of the CCASC.”

The motion was seconded, there was no further discussion. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

October 22, 2013

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC supports the proposal for Community Based Learning (CBL) course designation at UWB.

The motion was seconded, there was no further discussion.

Resnick called the question, hearing no objection; she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (8-0).

November 5, 2013

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the STEM School’s proposal for a BS in Computer Engineering.”

The motion was seconded and no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the STEM School’s proposal for a BS in Mechanical Engineering.”

The motion was seconded and no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

November 19, 2013

EC motion

Kovalesky moved to pass the motion:

“The EC approves the IAS Proposal for Arts Minors in Creative Writing, Performing Arts and Visual and Media Arts.”

The motion was seconded and no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

December 3, 2013

EC motion

Mourad moved to pass the motion:

“The EC supports shared governance involvement in strategic planning in campus growth and enrollment and in managing the rate of growth. A creation of a GFO subcommittee to work on campus growth is recommended.”

The motion was seconded, discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- Further discussion is needed on budgetary issues before the EC can move this motion forward.

Edwards moved to table the motion for further discussion. The motion was seconded. Resnick, hearing no objection, called for a vote; the motion to table the motion carried unanimously.

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:

“A joint EC and CCPTFA task force should be formed to address implementation issues on the Lecturer recommendations.”

The motion was seconded and no further discussion was held. Resnick called the question on the original motion, by show of hands the motion passed unanimously (8-0).

January 14, 2014

EC motion

Edwards moved to pass the motion:

“The EC recommends a simplification of the current review process of academic program Directors and school Deans at UW Bothell. The second and fourth year review would be eliminated and a third year review process would be initiated using the contents and process of review in the second year review.”

The motion was seconded and no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:

"The EC approves the School of Business' MS in Accounting".

The motion was seconded and no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

February 11, 2014

EC motion

Paul Collins moved to pass the motion:

"The EC approves the UWEO Accelerated Program on a 2-year trial basis. The program would be assessed after 2 years with data analysis.

The motion was seconded and discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- More consultation with CUSP is needed to assess the resources required for this program.
- The EC will need to review the HS teacher certification process.
- The EC should consult with their schools/programs about this admission process.

Collins withdrew his original motion. The issue will be scheduled for further discussion at a future EC meeting.

EC motion

Lerum moved to pass the motion:

"The EC approves the MS in Electrical Engineering PNOI with the EC recommendations".

Resnick called the question on the original motion, and there was no further discussion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC recommendation: Clarification of the pros and cons of the thesis, non-thesis options in the program.

EC motion

Paul Collins moved to pass the motion:

"The EC recommends putting the Instructional and Research Committee on inactive status".

Resnick called the question on the original motion, there was no further discussion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

February 25, 2014

EC motion

Lerum moved to pass the motion:

"The EC recommends a thorough study of these programs on student learning and success".

The motion was seconded and discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- We could ask UWS for support to bring the program to UWB.
- Is the auto-admit an admission policy change for UWB?
- Evaluation of the program with an eye to student success is needed.
- We could request more information on the scope and scale of the program from UWS.
- Orcutt stated that UWS may track data of credits coming in but they do not break down data on where the credits come from.
- More consultation with CUSP is needed to assess the resources required for this program.
- The EC should consult with their schools/programs about this admission process.

Resnick called the question on the original motion, hearing no objection, she called for a vote. The motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
"The EC approves the STEM proposal for a BS in Chemistry".

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Edwards moved to pass the motion:
"The EC approves the Nursing and Health Studies proposal to become the School of Nursing and Health Studies".

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Edwards moved to pass the motion:
"The EC moves to accept the draft of Guidelines for Course Submission of a Diversity (D) Designation with revisions."

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC recommendation: a revision to the draft of Guidelines for Course Submission of a Diversity (D) Designation will state that courses must respond to appropriate items for a D designation.

A final draft of the guidelines will be sent to Suzanne Sikma, Chair of the CCASC, for review.

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC moves create a permanent GFO committee on Strategic Planning and Budget”.

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

March 11, 2014

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the Education Program proposal to become the School of Educational Studies”.

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the proposal for an Option in Information Assurance and Cyber security (IAS) within the existing major in Computer Science and Software Engineering, BS”.

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

April 22, 2014

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC accepts the Draft Charge Letter for the UWB GFO Lecture Task Force with the recommendations from Paul Collins”.

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

May 6, 2014

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:

“The EC endorses moving forward the Nursing and Health Studies First Year Entry BSN proposal to the UW Registrar for Tri-campus Review.”

The motion was seconded, no discussion followed.

Resnick called the question on the original motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

May 20, 2014

EC motion

Gourd moved to pass the motion:

“The EC approves the proposals to Revise the Current English Language Proficiency Requirement and to Revise the TOEFL Requirement.”

The motion was seconded, discussion followed.

EC discussion

- These proposals cannot be voted on as one action; there is agreement on removing the verbiage of DTA/AA in the revision of the current English language proficiency requirement, but more faculty input is needed for the revision of the TOEFL requirement.
- There are implications for these revisions and they need to be considered separately.

Edwards moved to amend the motion:

“The motion is amended to consider the proposals submitted to the EC to Revise the Current English Language Proficiency Requirement and to Revise the TOEFL Requirement as two separate and distinct actions for vote by the EC.”

The amended motion was seconded, and no further discussion was held. Resnick called the question on the motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote. The motion to amend the original motion carried (7 – yes, 1 – abstain).

EC motion

Edwards moved to pass the motion:

“The EC approves the proposal to Revise the Current English Language Proficiency Requirement, removing the verbiage of DTA/AA from the current English language proficiency requirement.

The motion was seconded, no further discussion followed. Resnick called the question on the motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote. The motion was approved unanimously (8 – 0).

EC motion

Lerum moved to postpone action on the proposal to Revise the TOEFL Requirement and move it to a second reading for the purpose of gathering wider faculty input.

The motion was seconded; no further discussion was held. Resnick called the question on the motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried (7- yes, 1 - no).

June 10, 2014

EC motion

Danby moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the Guidelines and Course Application Supplement for Diversity (D) Designation for a UW Bothell Course.

The motion was seconded; no further discussion was held. Resnick called the question on the motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Edwards moved to pass the motion:
“The EC authorizes extending the CUSP Charter through the end of Autumn Quarter 2014.

The motion was seconded; no further discussion was held. Resnick called the question on the motion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

EC motion

Gourd moved to pass the motion:
“The EC approves the proposal to revise the TOEFL requirement.”

The motion was seconded; discussion followed.

EC discussion points

- Is this permanent annual funding? Can this be sustained?
- This type of funding is not a good way to fund things institutionally. There are concerns about funding raised on the fly.
- How will support for faculty be funded?
- A faculty survey on support for faculty development in this area would be helpful
- The EC has raised the level of awareness on these issues and would like to see strategies to support faculty and students.

Resnick called the question on the motion, there was no further discussion. Hearing no objection, she called for a vote on it. By show of hands, the motion carried (6 – yes, 1 - no).